

ARTS ACTION

Vol. 1, No. 3.

Loyola College, Montreal

Oct 20, 1966.

A MESSAGE FROM FR. MACGUIGAN S.J.

I thank Steve Hreha, Jr., your editor for his invitation to write in the Arts News, and I welcome the opportunity to reach you as a community. My message is spontaneous and consequently somewhat disjointed.

First comes an exhortation to take full advantage of the opportunities you have at Loyola. The proportion of very good professors at Loyola is high. We have very little deadwood—much less than would be considered our quota. Learn as much as you can from them, and under their direction. And take an active part in the student societies; there you learn the basic techniques of leadership, namely: commitment to a cause; persuasion to your point of view; and compromise when you can get only limited agreement. There are other personal and social advantages.

Secondly, try to work out some way of bringing the many Arts activities into some kind of cooperative union that will enable all of you to take pride in the accomplishments of some of you.

Thirdly, consider what advantages there might be in requesting from the Arts Council of Professors, the formation of a joint committee to discuss common interests and common or particular difficulties, with a view to changing College policy. For instance you could elect six members to meet six professors regularly. Some problems: Well, the excessive amount of written work assigned to some of you; the grimness that seems to have descended upon Honors programs so that there is no fun any more in learning; the rigidity and

lack of electives of some programs; etc. etc.

Why isn't there a Glee Club at Loyola?

And why do so many of you forget that your parents love you and are deeply interested in everything you do, taking pride in your attainment, and vicariously reliving their own lives in your fun and games?

What about a Dean's hour in the Guadagni Lounge?

ARTS...ACTION 66'

Franz A.J. Szabo

Artsmen take heart! Your faculty, often termed the sleeping giant, is awake. Scholastic improvements are obvious in every department. Witness a sample few.

Sociology, a new and exciting department on campus with a freshman enrollment of 450, is growing at a remarkable pace. With the prospect of more courses and a larger staff in sight, all systems are 'go'. Department head, Prof. Kane, warns that anyone expecting a monkey course will be "disillusioned", but adds that there are many rewards for the diligent student.

Closely allied is Psychology, with over 480 eager students. Pleased to see this great interest in psychology, department head Prof. Maheux is optimistic for the future. "Many students might expect psychology to be an easy field," he confided, "but if they want to find the real truth, they just have (See ARTS, page 3)

COLUMN ONE

Steve Hreha, Jr.

If the now defunct movement to impeach several members of the House has created any good, then it must be the bringing to light of the question of responsibility and House members.

Are Lower House members responsible to, or for their constituents? Should the representative lead student opinion, or should he be led by the opinion of the students?

These are important questions which must be answered by Lower House members if students are to be in a position to know their status at Loyola.

Lower House members must be responsible FOR their constituents. It is imperative that they make certain that the interests of the students are not forgotten or abused. But who decides decides what those interestd are?

The students themselves are not always the best judges.

The students are generally unaware of all the circumstance surrounding all the issues brought before the House. House members, however, have access to a fund of information, pertaining to the issues, and debate the issues before voting. As a result they are in a better position to judge and decide. This, however, is in no way implying that house members are infallible.

Does this mean, therefore, that students have no say in what happens?

When issues of major importance arise, such as the building of a student center, or membership in CUS or UGEQ, there is only one way to decide, and that is by a student referendum.

But obviously we can't have student referendums on every single issue. Therefore, students must place their trust in the representatives that they elect.

What happens therefore, if the student elected is incompetent? Do the students have a right to ask for his removal? The vital question that must

THEY CAN'T SEEM TO "BUDGE-IT"



be answered is: Who says he's incompetent, and why.

Student opinion is varied, and often uninformed. For example, many students have asked me to see what what could be done about making the girls science lounge co-educational. Many were very angry at the fact that they no longer had a lounge, and that the girls, a minority group, did.

The fact is that the space now used by the girls as a lounge, was intended to be an ante-room to a girls washroom. As you can see, it is out of the question to have a co-educational lounge.

In most cases, students are not aware of that one factor which would inevitably change their mind.

If House members have to continuously worry about the threat of impeachment, then they no longer serve as student leaders, but rather as puppets. So many people control this puppet, that it becomes inactive, inefficient, and progress is all but eliminated.

Elected leaders must be guaranteed one basic right: The freedom to choose what they feel is in the best interestsof their constituents.

However, our question remains unanswered. Do students have the right to impeach House members?

If all available information has been made available to the students, and if the students have discussed the matter, then, and only then, do they have the right of impeachment.

(ARTS continued from page 1)

to register in one of our courses." Enumerating many career opportunities, he also warned that a good psychologist must be compitent in many allied fields as well. "We need psychologists nowadays," he observed; and from the looks of things there'll be plenty. As one young lady put it: "That course is so good!"

These two departments, together with Communication Arts, which made headlines last year, are revolutionizing the faculty; but, the more traditional departments are also in the mainstream.

The English department, with 5 new professors and 12 new instructors, under Prof. Hooper, has introduced a whole range of new courses, and "always expects to introduce new ones."

Prof. Doyle, heading the Philosophy department, lauds his department's increase in staff, but worries over the 1,700 students with which they have to cope. Blaming this large number for the difficulties in getting a desired course this year, he labels this a "quite normal phenomena", adding that the situation here is better than in most places.

Prof. Habib, heading the seven year old Political Science department, feels that the introduction of an honors programme this year is "a great step forward". An increase in the number of courses and staff, with the exciting innovation of visiting lecturers, are the highlights of this, one of the largest departments on campus. Prof. Habib sees a unique opportunity for today's youth to shape the future and, he feels, political science can help them all in this. "If anyone is interested in the future of their country," he said, "he will study politics."

But where the action really is, is where it is least expected - in Theology and History. Father Henkey termed his department "one of the most dynamic and progressive in North America", whowere definitely making

themselves felt. One thing that keeps Loyola's Theology department up with the latest developments is the contemporary Institute of Theology, which was initiated and is run by Father E. O'Brian, "one of the finest minds in this country" as Father Henkey termed him. With its publishing and lecturing activities, it is an ambassador par excellence for Loyola's name.

Doubted "the most wide-awake department on campus", the History department under Prof. Adams, is really on the move, introducing, for the first time at Loyola, a "kind of dry run for graduate school". It is a new two year tutorial course at the end of which a lengthy essay is required - call it a Bachelor Thesis if you will - which revolutionized the History honors programme. Changes in the major programme as well as staff and course expansion are also meditated. Quoth Prof. Savage, "People will work for the first time!"

The promise of a great future for the Arts faculty, is being heralded by present reforms. It may seem like a lot of hard work, much of it unexpected, lies in store for Artsmen. But then, no one ever said that it was going to be easy.

- - -

HOMEcoming QUEEN... 66!

This year's Homecoming Queen is a delicious female in second year Arts, by the name of Margaret-Anne (Peggy to most) Corriveau. Peggy, who was sponsored by Kappa Chi fraternity, and is majoring in Communication Arts, says she feels "Just great". Obviously she is very adept at communicating!

Be that as it may, Peggy received a sterling silver bracelet to mark the occasion. Peggy is another example of Arts leadership, and we have the majority of figures to prove it.

MODEL PARLIAMENT

Robert Calderisi

Now that the student body has discovered through controversy the glories of the Lower House, it is perhaps fitting that another representative body be introduced to those on campus unaware of it --- the Model Parliament.

For the past five years or so at Loyola student political parties have contested a campus election and vied for seats in an institution which has striven to recreate at the college level the drama, polemics, and triumphs of the House of Commons. Sitting for day-long sessions in the Foyer twice a year, forty or more members authorized to sit by their respective parties have in the past debated bills and engaged in the partisan dialogue that is the hallmark of the parliamentary system.

The parties which participate are required to conduct a campaign, present their programmes to the student body, supervise the balloting, then hope for the best. But if the Liberal chroniclers can be believed, the Grits have never lost the election.

Last year's sessions were especially intriguing. The election had resulted in a stalemate: the Liberals won 24 of 48 seats; the one-month-old NDP party, 15; the Conservatives, 6; and the Creditistes, 2. Despite the potential deadlock, however, the legislative record was impressive, and the Model Parliament passed bills abolishing the monarchy, condemning capital punishment, encouraging measures to combat water pollution, and establishing a Canadian Development Corporation.

But the monarchy, you notice, still exists. Lacking the awesome power of the Lower House, the Model Parliament is more a forum of discussion than a legislative body, and is designed, as one humorist observed, to allow parliamentary procedure and political debate without fear of the consequences. And

invariably in the past, the participants have emerged satisfied, and observers have found the proceedings enjoyable.

For the Model Parliament, anyone will tell you, is far from stuffy. Though serious discussion is its main concern, the members would hardly survive the day if antics and humor were disallowed. And so, besides the persuasive argumentation last year, one encountered a Conservative filibustering on the monarchy issue by reciting the biography of Her Majesty, Queen Elizabeth II, and the NDP contingent expressed physical support of one government bill by crossing the floor en masse.

This year the fate of the Model Parliament is in doubt. The camous Liberals at a general meeting expressed concern with their executive's announced decision to withdraw. Their leaders wish to channel their energy into more constructive projects, but they have been asked to reconsider. The Creditistes have already bowed out for lack of funds, and the position of the New Democratic Party has not yet been publicly clarified. Only the Progressive Conservative party has been enthusiastically urging continued support of the Model Parliament, but they could hardly carry on alone, without resorting to an unhealthy totalitarianism of the right.

There is more than one school of thought on campus regarding the value of the Model Parliament. Some of the students, while admitting its ultimate significance and occasional pettiness, nevertheless, extoll it as a valuable opportunity for defense of principles and exposure to an opposite view. Only in the clash of dissident opinions they argue, does the truth assure itself the ultimate victory. The eloquence which the Model Parliament en-

genders is almost as shining as that of its defenders, but its opponents deride it merely as an opportunity for certain gifted individuals to unveil the quality of their logic and tongues; nothing is accomplished, and unresearched soliloquies command the day amid the thumping of desks and the raucous echo of catcalls.

No doubt there is some truth in both approaches to the problem, but regardless of its relative merits, the preservation of Model Parliament will be up to the political parties involved, Without their support this feature of campus life will simply disappear. But it is yet undecided whether its loss would be mourned.

DON'T THINK TWICE, IT'S ALL RIGHT

Les Detre

"On ce we had a newspaper editor"... I started to explain.

"Look man the 'cans' in this dump couldn't be all that bad" she interrupted.

Now if you're wondering which one of our pretty deceptive creations would use the language of a bourgeois proletarian, the root of your problem can be answered only if you notice that his hair.....well, it was my old friend Joe whom I had met at a ban-the-bomb meeting.

♪ But don't think twice, it's all right! ♪

Once we had a group called The Group.. -but no, I won't tell you, its a long story. Besides, have you ever heard of anything as silly as a Capitalist stirring up revolution. If you stop and think about it, its what Freud called "The death wish".

♪ But its all right - don't think! dd

There once was a Joe-College,
Who loved life and this blond.
He flunked College,
And lost the blond.

It takes character, a sense of art,
and a good shot of whiskey to get a
B.A. and the girl, while retaining
your ego.

♪ It ain't no use to sit and wonder
why babe ♪

"Mr. Chairman, this House should
get off its carcass and realizethat
arguing over a free lunch for two
hours is like reading Camu's Plague
while the rats are piling up on your
front(lawn).

dd Look out your window and... ♪

Steve Hreha, Jr., our editor
extraordinaire, is a Lower House rep.
The trouble is he always attends the
meetings-what's more suffocating, he
doesn't just sit there and breathe; at
least he tries.

♪ He gave his heart but they wanted
his soul ♪

Next Sunday will mark the tenth
anniversary of the Hungarian Revol-
ution of 1956.

"What's that" interrupted my fuzzy
friend. You mean when crypto-Fascism
sponsored by American Imperialism
tried to destroy Hungarian Socialist
Brotherhood."

Yes, when a people voiced mass
approval for the same type of society
that Mr. Gagnon was glorifying in
last week's NEWS. Don't forget, a
vote for UGEQ is a vote for paradise!
Ask George Orwell!

⊖ When will they ever learn. ⊖

L.S.D. IN SOCIETY

Steve Sigmond

In the previous article, we treated L.S.D. and other hallucinogenic drugs, according to their properties, their effects, and some opinions or schools developed about them.

A good question however, is L.S.D. only a hallucinogenic drug, or can it be used for other purposes. Well, L.S.D. has been experimented with in the treatment of criminals, alcoholics, the mentally-ill, and many other "abnormalities", and has had varied results. Although no definite conclusion can be reached as to L.S.D.'s medical purposes, The drug has been relatively successful in such treatments. Dr. Timothy Leary, an ex-Harvard University professor, held quite a few of these clinical experiments, (before he went off on his wild rampage to establish a religion centered about L.S.D., using the drug as a sacrament)

One of the more noted of these experiments was that used in a penitentiary in the United States. Now the normal "come-back" rate of ex-convicts stands at about 83-87%. After Leary's L.S.D. session with the convicts of this prison, the come back rate among the treated convicts dropped to 60%. This drop of 20% can be considered quite significant, and must be more thoroughly examined. In the treatment of alcoholics also, certain clinics using the drug in therapy have also come up with some fairly notable success.

As far as clinical psychology and psychiatrics is concerned, L.S.D. may perhaps open up revolutionary new methods of therapy in the treatment of addictions, crime, and mental illness.

But what about the new philosophies centered around L.S.D.? They proclaim the greatness of L.S.D.'s possibilities. Is this insight really a concrete realistic view into one's own mind, or will the individual just be lulled into an "artificial heaven" - a false sense

of security? Will man just become a passive being, content and satisfied with resting within the arms of the "protection" he has found under L.S.D. These are some of the questions which are inherent concerning this topic.

People like Aldous Huxley and Timothy Leary, firmly believe that the insight gained by the L.S.D. experience is truly a view into one's own mind. Hence it is reality, and must be treated as such. It is compared to the true insight gained by the Buddhist monk who after many years of contemplation finally finds himself having a truer and deeper knowledge of the meaning of things. But is this really so? We know that under L.S.D. our sense of perception is increased - fine. We know this from the dilation of the pupils etc. But how do we know whether or not the L.S.D. state is not just a psychotic state? How do we know that under L.S.D. we are not just madmen? are we really in control of our senses, or are we just victims of a series of hallucinations which pass after about ten hours? These questions have got to be answered. Medical science has not been able to find a suitable answer, although certain other "experts" like Leary claim they have. I for one still remain skeptical. I await the decision of medical science. It is all fine and well to philosophise, but it is also wise to know as much as possible about something as potentially powerful as L.S.D. before using it as if it were harmless chocolate.

Leary may perhaps be sure that L.S.D. is not addictive or harmful, yet it still holds true that he has had over 300 L.S.D. experiences. The fanaticism with which he persists in establishing his L.S.D. "Religion" makes me for one wonder whether or not L.S.D. is in fact a psychotomimetic. It just seemed to me that Leary did a lot more good using L.S.D. in clinical psychological experimentation, than he does now as the high priest of his new "Religion".

L.S.D. AND REVOLUTION

Mario Relich

Psychedelic experience seems to be growing into a religious cult based on Marxism, Buddhism and Christian elements. An incredible pamphlet unearthed by the Senate from the Board of Publications files cannot but lead to such a conclusion. We do not know who wrote the pamphlet and the Board denies any connection with it. We are sure that our worthy Senate will apprehend the culprit, perhaps even as you are reading this. We have decided to publish some excerpts from this inflammatory pamphlet in order to protect the gullible from exploitation.

"The only way to enlarge freedom is through Revolution. This has been amply proved by the social experiment in Cuba and the heroic struggle of the National Liberation Front in Viet Nam."

"The masses are drugged by the Power Elite through such panaceas as more consumer goods and supposedly democratic government."

"Lenin and Castro had to educate the masses to throw off their chains by revolution. This was a laborious project which failed in many crucial capitalist countries and backfired into Nazi infamy in Germany."

"We no longer have to educate the masses, just give them L.S.D. and revolution will be achieved."

"L.S.D. is the consciousness-expanding drug, is it not? Revolutionary thought is also consciousness-expanding, is it not? Then it is settled. L.S.D. is the revolutionary drug! The freedom-expanding drug!"

"The Establishment cannot abide L.S.D. The Reason is not in its alleged harm to health. After all, alcohol, tobacco, coffee and tea are just as, if not more, harmful. But alcohol, tobacco, coffee and tea are vested interests. Furthermore, they are all dull revolutionary consciousness because they lead to acceptance rather than rejection of the status quo. Indeed, alcohol and tobacco are the opiate of the masses. Coffee and tea are well-known results of imperialist exploitation. Give the

coolies L.S.D. and we shall see who shall exploit whom."

"Karl Marx is our God and Timothy Leary is his prophet"

"Philosophy has only interpreted the world. L.S.D. will change it."

"L.S.D. will abolish war for it achieves inner harmony and war is the exteriorization of inner conflict"

"Let those who have taken L.S.D. cast the first stone."

"To make L.S.D. sugar cubes, take an ounce of...."

Ed's note: This was deleted for obvious reasons.

"Question:

If psychedelic experience will increase joy, how much will it encourage revolution?"

"Answer:

By striking at the heart of an establishment that forbids it.

"Conclusion:

L.S.D. must never be allowed to become legal."

"Consciousness of individuality is the enemy of freedom. Freedom is achieved only when the self is destroyed and unified to the one."

"The reign of L.S.D. will render government unnecessary. Scientific socialism will finally become a reality."

"Man is the being who wants to be God but man IS God in L.S.D."

"The tyranny of family life will be destroyed once and for all"

"The Tribal Age will soon be over. The age of Psychedelic Experience is dawning."

"The fruit of the Tree of Knowledge was an L.S.D. coated apple."

"Timothy Leary must be martyred so that we can grow in his blood."

"If the guard sees me writing this he confiscate my library card. He's coming, I better use the fire escape door."

ED's NOTE:

Apparently the fire alarm went off in the library last month, but the culprit got away and two innocent bystanders had their library cards confiscated.

SPORTS

Bill Casey

FOOTBALL WARRIORS WAMPED 46-1

"The half-time show was a real treat, but the football game a disaster." Before a homecoming crowd of close to 4,000 last Saturday, the Loyola Warriors suffered a numerical and physical beating from the Waterloo Lutheran Golden Hawks. The score was 46-1, and by the end of the game nine Loyola players had been examined by the doctor.

The Warriors got their lone point in the first quarter from a Ron Sekeres punt deep into the Lutheran end zone. Quarterback Dave McKay engineered Waterloo's offence through the impressive rushing of Murray Markowitz and John Kruspe, each of whom scored two Lutheran touchdowns. A brisk 25 MPH wind played havoc with the punting and receiving. In the Third Quarter Waterloo punter, Tom Allen, kicked a record 82 yard punt for a single point.

Loyola was without the services of speedy Billy Sheasgreen, who was unable to dress because of a broken wrist. The Warriors managed only 72 yards rushing and 104 yards in the air. Loyola lost two third down gambles which were marked for touchdowns. Another possible touchdown came when Loyola recovered a Lutheran fumble deep in Lutheran territory. This drive was nullified by a Lutheran interception on the next play.

The loss leaves Loyola with a won two and lost two record. The Warriors have three remaining league games. Next Saturday, Loyola take on the last place University of Montreal at the U of M field at 2.00 p.m. On Oct. 29, the Warriors will go to Ottawa to play the Carleton Ravens. They wind up the season playing Waterloo on November 5.

QB ROBINSON OK

Loyola's disastrous loss to Waterloo Lutheran during the second annual homecoming almost ended in a near tragedy. When the second-to-last play of the game had ended, Loyola's veteran quarterback, Jim Robinson lay unconscious on the sidelines in front of his own bench. For Jim, who was whisked away in a Montreal Police ambulance, it was feared that his neck or collarbone might have been broken. However, club officials announced on Sunday that Robinson suffered a bruised neck and should be ready for Saturday's game against the University of Montreal on the U of M field.

Jim, a fourth year Arts student and who stands 5'9" weighing 168 lbs. will be twenty next month. Last season Jim played six games and completed 42% of his passes. Only one pass was intercepted in his six games.

If Jim is not ready, to take his place will be backup quarterback Terry Whelan. A first year Arts student, Terry comes to Loyola from Father MacDonald H.S.. Standing 5'9" and weighing 155 lbs., the seventeen-year old rookie quarterbacked the St. Laurent Jets of the Midget QRFU for three years. Another prospect is J.V. quarterback, Tommy Pellegrino. Tommy who is 5'10" and weighs 180 lbs. quarterbacked the Lachine Lakers of the Juvenile QRFU before coming to Loyola.

ARTS IV DOMINATE ARTS LEAGUE

First place in the Arts league was just about clinched last week when Arts IV tied Arts III, 13-13. Games in the Arts League are played daily at one on the North field.